



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/265,391

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

08/265,391 06/24/94 LEWIS

S JAS103CIP

24M1/0520

| | |
|---------|----------|
| HONG, S | EXAMINER |
|---------|----------|

JOSEPH A. SAWYER, JR.
BENMAN COLLINS & SAWYER
620 HANSEN WAY
SUITE A
PALO ALTO, CA 94304

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2412
DATE MAILED:

8

05/20/96

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Stephen Sullivan (Applicant's rep) (3) _____
(2) Stephen Hong (Examiner) (4) _____

Date of interview 5-16-96

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 40, 41

Identification of prior art discussed: Hearty et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In claim 40, we agreed to clarify what is being done to the information after being separated into either Primary or Secondary layer. In claim 41, we agreed to clarify that the "subjective quality standard" is defined by feedback from the viewer.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Stephen Hong
Examiner's Signature